UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,118	12/09/2005	Natan Bezyaiko	31071	2737
Martin D Moyn	7590	EXAMINER		
Prtsi Inc		HANNON, THOMAS R		
PO Box 16446 Arlington, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/560,118	BEZYAIKO, NATAN
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Thomas R. Hannon	3682
۔۔ Period foı	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.81X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutingly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)☐ 5	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi Since this application is in condition for allowa	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositio	on of Claims		
5)\(\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5)\(\begin{array}{c} 6 \end{array} \\ 7)\(\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 8 \end{array} \end{array} \]	Claim(s) <u>49-60</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) <u>59</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) <u>49-52</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>53-58 and 60</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Papers	from consideration.	
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	oor	
10)⊠ T	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2005</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a) accepted or b) object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[;	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) X Notice 3) X Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 12/9/05 7/12/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on April 7, 2008 is acknowledged.

Claim 59 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Figures 1A and 1B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim 60 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2, "tace" should be --race--. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 60 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3682

The limitation now presented in claim 60 with respect to the solids of revolution not touching one another is not found in the original specification, and constitutes new matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 53-58, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 53 lacks a proper antecedent for "the bearing".

Claim 54 lacks a proper antecedent for "the unit of a clutch".

Claim 55 lacks a proper antecedent for "the unit of a pump".

Claim 56 lacks a proper antecedent for "the unit of an engine".

Claim 57 lacks a proper antecedent for "the unit of a gearing".

Claim 58 lacks a proper antecedent for "the rod".

Claims 53-58 appear to be attempting to claim combinations of the device of claim 49. The structural components of each combination should be properly defined in the claims. Such structural components must be claimed with specific claim language which relates the component elements of the claim 49 to corresponding structure within the combination. Such corresponding relationships between the combination components and the roller device must have sufficient antecedent support in the original disclosure.

Regarding claim 60, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP

Page 4

§ 2173.05(d). Claim 60 further lacks proper antecedents for "the outer most orbital rows", "the nearest inner race", "the neighboring solids of revolution", and "the neighboring orbital rows". The modifiers of "outermost", "nearest" and "neighboring" are improper indirect limitations. The scope of claim 60 is further not clear in that it appears to be stating contradictory features, i.e., that the solids of revolution "cannot touch one another" and that the "solids of revolution of different orbital rows can touch the neighboring solids of revolution". The metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined due to the vast indefiniteness of the claim; a patentability determination based on prior art cannot be made due to this indefiniteness.

Claims 49-52 are allowed.

Claims 53-58 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,118 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas R. Hannon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3682